

DOMAIN RESPONSE
(for all respondents combined)


	Criteria for Evaluation of Existing/Alternative Systems	Level of Importance to Respondents								
		Weighted Total (Sum of Ranks 1-3)	Rank 1 (N=648)	Rank 2 (N=645)	Rank 3 (N=669)	Rank 4 (N=622)	Rank 5 (N=626)	Rank 6 (N=656)	Rank 7 (N=645)	Rank 8 (N=657)
1	Includes Multiple Informants	58.6%	14.4%	15.5%	28.7%	5.5%	5.9%	9.5%	8.2%	11.7%
2	Psychometric Validity & Reliability	33.3%	9.0%	8.8%	15.5%	2.9%	5.6%	11.4%	8.5%	11.7%
3	Short & Relatively Easy to Administer	28.2%	9.7%	8.8%	9.7%	4.3%	4.3%	9.9%	11.3%	12.5%
4	Low Cost (Public Domain is Preferred)	27.4%	10.2%	8.2%	9.0%	3.9%	3.8%	9.5%	10.1%	9.9%
5	Cost Effectiveness of Data (value of data per time & cost)	27.2%	11.1%	8.8%	7.3%	5.6%	6.2%	12.5%	9.8%	10.2%
6	Sensitivity to Measuring Change over Time	21.0%	6.9%	6.8%	7.3%	4.0%	6.1%	8.8%	8.2%	10.0%
7	Strength Based Design	18.1%	8.2%	5.1%	4.8%	4.0%	3.7%	8.7%	7.8%	8.5%
8	Culturally Neutral/Non-Biased	18.9%	5.9%	8.5%	4.5%	4.8%	5.6%	7.6%	6.2%	6.1%
9	Applicability of Data to Multiple Agencies	16.6%	7.6%	6.5%	2.5%	5.1%	6.1%	5.3%	5.9%	5.6%
10	Includes Collection of Risk Factors	15.1%	4.2%	7.8%	3.1%	8.0%	8.6%	5.0%	5.9%	4.9%
11	Applicability to Broad Age of Youth	6.6%	2.5%	2.8%	1.3%	9.6%	8.8%	3.4%	5.0%	2.6%
12	Usefulness of Data for Quality Management Purposes	8.7%	3.1%	4.0%	1.6%	11.6%	8.1%	3.4%	3.3%	2.1%
13	Flexibility to Reformat Instrument & Integrate w/Technology	4.9%	1.7%	2.3%	0.9%	14.1%	14.1%	1.4%	4.0%	0.8%
14	Useful of Data for Clinical Treatment Planning Purposes	7.0%	3.1%	2.6%	1.3%	12.5%	8.3%	1.4%	3.3%	1.5%
	Other	8.4%	2.4%	3.5%	2.5%	4.1%	4.8%	2.2%	2.5%	1.9%

	Criteria for Evaluation of Existing/Alternative Systems	Level of Importance to Respondents							
		Rank 9 (N=633)	Rank 10 (N=649)	Rank 11 (N=646)	Rank 12 (N=632)	Rank 13 (N=634)	Rank 14 (N=655)	Rank 15 (N=81)	Rank 16 (N=60)
1	Includes Multiple Informants	2.8%	7.9%	6.0%	4.3%	2.7%	28.7%	22.2%	8.3%
2	Psychometric Validity & Reliability	2.5%	8.9%	4.6%	3.8%	2.7%	14.8%	2.5%	5.0%
3	Short & Relatively Easy to Administer	4.1%	7.9%	9.8%	4.6%	3.3%	9.9%	2.5%	0.0%
4	Low Cost (Public Domain is Preferred)	5.1%	7.2%	7.6%	4.0%	2.8%	8.5%	1.2%	5.0%
5	Cost Effectiveness of Data (value of data per time & cost)	4.3%	8.3%	7.7%	3.3%	3.6%	6.7%	3.7%	0.0%
6	Sensitivity to Measuring Change over Time	3.8%	8.5%	10.2%	6.2%	3.2%	5.0%	2.5%	3.3%
7	Strength Based Design	5.5%	8.8%	9.8%	6.8%	3.9%	4.0%	6.2%	5.0%
8	Culturally Neutral/Non-Biased	7.1%	9.9%	9.8%	5.1%	6.0%	6.4%	1.2%	0.0%
9	Applicability of Data to Multiple Agencies	9.2%	6.2%	7.4%	6.5%	6.8%	2.6%	2.5%	1.7%
10	Includes Collection of Risk Factors	10.7%	8.8%	6.7%	12.5%	8.7%	3.4%	1.2%	3.3%
11	Applicability to Broad Age of Youth	9.6%	4.8%	7.0%	10.1%	8.0%	2.3%	2.5%	1.7%
12	Usefulness of Data for Quality Management Purposes	12.0%	5.4%	5.3%	8.5%	13.9%	1.7%	6.2%	1.7%
13	Flexibility to Reformat Instrument & Integrate w/Technology	8.5%	3.2%	4.0%	13.8%	9.5%	1.4%	2.5%	1.7%
14	Useful of Data for Clinical Treatment Planning Purposes	9.2%	2.6%	2.3%	6.3%	18.1%	2.3%	3.7%	5.0%
	Other	5.6%	1.6%	1.8%	4.2%	6.8%	2.3%	39.4%	58.3%
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Domain Responses

(for all respondents combined)

(N =~650)



Mental Health Director Domain Response

	Criteria for Evaluation of Existing/Alternative Systems	Level of Importance to Respondents				
		Total	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3	N
1	Includes Multiple Informants	62.4%	27.8%	11.1%	23.5%	18
2	Psychometric Validity & Reliability	11.5%	5.6%		5.9%	18
3	Short & Relatively Easy to Administer	46.1%	5.6%	11.1%	29.4%	18
4	Low Cost (Public Domain is Preferred)	33.4%	16.7%	16.7%		18
5	Cost Effectiveness of Data (value of data per time & cost)	34.3%		16.7%	17.6%	18
6	Sensitivity to Measuring Change over Time	22.6%	11.1%	5.6%	5.9%	18
7	Strength Based Design	17.1%	5.6%	5.6%	5.9%	18
8	Culturally Neutral/Non-Biased	22.6%		16.7%	5.9%	18
9	Applicability of Data to Multiple Agencies	22.3%	16.7%	5.6%		18
10	Includes Collection of Risk Factors	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%		18
11	Applicability to Broad Age of Youth	5.9%			5.9%	18
12	Usefulness of Data for Quality Management Purposes	0.0%				18
13	Flexibility to Reformat Instrument & Integrate w/Technology	0.0%				18
14	Useful of Data for Clinical Treatment Planning Purposes	0.0%				18

Children's Coordinator/Administrator Domain Response

	Criteria for Evaluation of Existing/Alternative Systems	Level of Importance to Respondents				
		Total	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3	N
1	Includes Multiple Informants	67.0%	14.5%	10.8%	41.7%	76
2	Psychometric Validity & Reliability	31.3%	7.9%	9.5%	13.9%	76
3	Short & Relatively Easy to Administer	29.6%	10.5%	12.2%	6.9%	76
4	Low Cost (Public Domain is Preferred)	40.2%	18.4%	13.5%	8.3%	76
5	Cost Effectiveness of Data (value of data per time & cost)	20.4%	5.3%	9.5%	5.6%	76
6	Sensitivity to Measuring Change over Time	19.0%	5.3%	8.1%	5.6%	76
7	Strength Based Design	17.5%	9.2%	4.1%	4.2%	76
8	Culturally Neutral/Non-Biased	19.0%	6.6%	6.8%	5.6%	76
9	Applicability of Data to Multiple Agencies	12.1%	5.3%	6.8%		76
10	Includes Collection of Risk Factors	13.6%	2.6%	6.8%	4.2%	76
11	Applicability to Broad Age of Youth	5.4%	2.6%	1.4%	1.4%	76
12	Usefulness of Data for Quality Management Purposes	9.4%	5.3%	4.1%		76
13	Flexibility to Reformat Instrument & Integrate w/Technology	5.4%	1.3%	2.7%	1.4%	76
14	Useful of Data for Clinical Treatment Planning Purposes	6.7%	2.6%	2.7%	1.4%	76

Children's Evaluator/Quality Manager Domain Response

	Criteria for Evaluation of Existing/Alternative Systems	Level of Importance to Respondents				
		Total	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3	N
1	Includes Multiple Informants	71.3%	25.6%	37.8%	7.9%	39
2	Psychometric Validity & Reliability	47.1%	17.9%	8.1%	21.1%	39
3	Short & Relatively Easy to Administer	29.0%	7.7%	10.8%	10.5%	39
4	Low Cost (Public Domain is Preferred)	18.5%	5.1%	8.1%	5.3%	39
5	Cost Effectiveness of Data (value of data per time & cost)	26.2%	12.8%	8.1%	5.3%	39
6	Sensitivity to Measuring Change over Time	10.6%	2.6%	2.7%	5.3%	39
7	Strength Based Design	18.6%		5.4%	13.2%	39
8	Culturally Neutral/Non-Biased	15.7%	5.1%	2.7%	7.9%	39
9	Applicability of Data to Multiple Agencies	13.0%	7.7%		5.3%	39
10	Includes Collection of Risk Factors	21.3%	2.6%	10.8%	7.9%	39
11	Applicability to Broad Age of Youth	2.6%	2.6%			39
12	Usefulness of Data for Quality Management Purposes	10.6%	2.6%	2.7%	5.3%	39
13	Flexibility to Reformat Instrument & Integrate w/Technology	5.3%	2.6%	2.7%		39
14	Useful of Data for Clinical Treatment Planning Purposes	5.2%	2.6%		2.6%	39

Information Technology Domain Response

		Level of Importance to Respondents				
Criteria for Evaluation of Existing/Alternative Systems		Total	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3	N
1	Includes Multiple Informants	40.4%	11.1%	11.1%	18.2%	11
2	Psychometric Validity & Reliability	49.5%		22.2%	27.3%	11
3	Short & Relatively Easy to Administer	9.1%			9.1%	11
4	Low Cost (Public Domain is Preferred)	11.1%	11.1%			11
5	Cost Effectiveness of Data (value of data per time & cost)	20.2%		11.1%	9.1%	11
6	Sensitivity to Measuring Change over Time	0.0%				11
7	Strength Based Design	11.1%	11.1%			11
8	Culturally Neutral/Non-Biased	11.1%		11.1%		11
9	Applicability of Data to Multiple Agencies	42.4%	33.3%		9.1%	11
10	Includes Collection of Risk Factors	53.5%	11.1%	33.3%	9.1%	11
11	Applicability to Broad Age of Youth	11.1%		11.1%		11
12	Usefulness of Data for Quality Management Purposes	22.2%	22.2%			11
13	Flexibility to Reformat Instrument & Integrate w/Technology	0.0%				11
14	Useful of Data for Clinical Treatment Planning Purposes	0.0%				11

Children's Clinician Domain Response

	Criteria for Evaluation of Existing/Alternative Systems	Level of Importance to Respondents				
		Total	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3	N
1	Includes Multiple Informants	56.9%	13.6%	13.9%	29.4%	388
2	Psychometric Validity & Reliability	29.8%	5.7%	7.9%	16.2%	388
3	Short & Relatively Easy to Administer	25.9%	9.8%	7.1%	9.0%	388
4	Low Cost (Public Domain is Preferred)	27.5%	9.2%	8.2%	10.1%	388
5	Cost Effectiveness of Data (value of data per time & cost)	30.5%	14.1%	9.2%	7.2%	388
6	Sensitivity to Measuring Change over Time	22.9%	8.1%	7.3%	7.5%	388
7	Strength Based Design	18.7%	9.5%	4.6%	4.6%	388
8	Culturally Neutral/Non-Biased	19.6%	5.7%	9.5%	4.4%	388
9	Applicability of Data to Multiple Agencies	17.5%	7.9%	7.3%	2.3%	388
10	Includes Collection of Risk Factors	12.9%	4.6%	6.5%	1.8%	388
11	Applicability to Broad Age of Youth	7.5%	2.4%	3.8%	1.3%	388
12	Usefulness of Data for Quality Management Purposes	8.1%	2.2%	4.6%	1.3%	388
13	Flexibility to Reformat Instrument & Integrate w/Technology	4.3%	1.4%	2.4%	0.5%	388
14	Useful of Data for Clinical Treatment Planning Purposes	7.2%	2.7%	3.0%	1.5%	388

Parent's Group Representative/Consumer Advocate Domain Response

	Criteria for Evaluation of Existing/Alternative Systems	Level of Importance to Respondents				
		Total	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3	N
1	Includes Multiple Informants	111.9%	28.6%	50.0%	33.3%	7
2	Psychometric Validity & Reliability	14.3%	14.3%			7
3	Short & Relatively Easy to Administer	61.9%	28.6%	33.3%		7
4	Low Cost (Public Domain is Preferred)	16.7%			16.7%	7
5	Cost Effectiveness of Data (value of data per time & cost)	14.3%	14.3%			7
6	Sensitivity to Measuring Change over Time	16.7%			16.7%	7
7	Strength Based Design	31.0%	14.3%		16.7%	7
8	Culturally Neutral/Non-Biased	0.0%				7
9	Applicability of Data to Multiple Agencies	0.0%				7
10	Includes Collection of Risk Factors	16.7%			16.7%	7
11	Applicability to Broad Age of Youth	0.0%				7
12	Usefulness of Data for Quality Management Purposes	0.0%				7
13	Flexibility to Reformat Instrument & Integrate w/Technology	16.7%		16.7%		7
14	Useful of Data for Clinical Treatment Planning Purposes	0.0%				7

